

**South Central College  
Curriculum Committee  
Quality Improvement Questionnaire  
Spring 2016**

**1. Regarding the current Curriculum Committee Development Process, what do you think are the three best things about it?**

	Count
1. Core team mtg in advance. 2. Coordination of Gale & Lisa w/faculty over changes.	1
1. The request for intention is easy. 2. Forces a change that is reviewed by developer, dean, SCC curriculum, and advisory group. (Inter-disciplinary approach) 3. SCC Comm. Supportive.	1
Allows for faculty to give suggestions/feedback on the course competencies. Communication with registration team.	1
Asynchronous CCO reviews, WIDS (yes, I said it), and the help of Lisa Lamor.	1
Collaboration - transparency - simple (once practiced)	1
Forms are more self-explanatory, easier to follow, process is laid out for all to follow.	1
Online process through Wids is convenient and streamlined.	1
Online review; forms online	1
Total	8

Comments reported as written.

**2. What parts, if any, of the Curriculum Development Process, are not working well?**

	Count
- No response	2
At times, it has been unclear as to what needs to be reviewed and by when. Got better toward the end of the year.	1
Changes sent back to developer/hitting timeline.	1
Faculty paperwork w/signatures.	1
More support given to WIDS maintenance & changes. Request for change - Paper copies plus e-copies & signed scanned copies submitted - too many!	1
Paperwork form movement & management & filing	1
Participation in reviewing CCO's by curriculum committee members is a minor issue at times.	1
Total	8

Comments reported as written.

**South Central College  
Curriculum Committee  
Quality Improvement Questionnaire  
Spring 2016**

**3. What changes to the process would you recommend to improve it?**

		Count
	- No response	1
	#2 - detailed timelines for reviewers.	1
	Automate	1
	I am new - getting to know process, but I think I'm getting the hang of it.	1
	I believe the process we have is working and now we need to step it up by... (see Q4 answer)	1
	I really cannot think of any way to improve upon this situation. Different way of dividing up all the review responsibilities among committee members? Notational basis?	1
	Place document on screen in room during discussion. Change room layout for discussion/ergonomics	1
	Same as #2	1

Comments reported as written.

**4. Are there any tools that you are aware of that could be developed or utilized to improve the review process?**

		Count
	- No response	3
	Electronic Signatures	1
	I know the agenda and schedule are online but I'm wondering about Outlook meetings being created - would help me, otherwise I can add them myself.	1
	Offer more training for faculty.	1
	Using more tools utilizing Wids that are available & also move on to digitize signatures. Start eliminating so much paper and have the trail exist in Wids.	1
	WIDS, ImageNow	1
	Total	8

Comments reported as written.

**5. If you have any additional comments, concerns, etc. about the Curriculum Development Process not already discussed here, please share them:**

		Count
	- No response	5
	I don't know that faculty & staff understand that intentions are posted so they can see what changes are being made to curriculum. They are waiting to be informed/bifed (?) in person.	1
	Interested in discussion how assessment & curriculum will be aligned going forward. Also, kudos to Gale & all of her work - THANK YOU!	1
	Thank you	1
	Total	8

Comments reported as written.