









































### Face to Face Instructor/Course Evaluation Summary Report

	Fall 2011 - Spring 2015	FY 2012		FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015	
		Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Fall 2012	Spring 2013	Fall 2013	Spring 2014	Fall 2014	Spring 2015
<b>Number of students selected to survey:</b>		4,976	4,279	4,932	4,370	4,486	4,519	4,236	3,912
<b>Number of completed surveys processed:</b>		3,308	3,359	3,490	3,028	3,023	2,914	2,913	2,642
<b>Response rate:</b>		66.5%	78.5%	70.8%	69.3%	67.4%	64.5%	68.8%	67.5%
<b>1. Regarding my personal reaction to this class:</b>									
<i>Mean (on a 6 point scale, where 6=strongly agree &amp; 1=strongly disagree)</i>									
a. I attended all/almost all of the classes.		5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.5	5.5
b. I was well prepared for class most of the time.		5.4	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
c. Compared to my own level of effort in other classes, my effort in this course was very high.		5.1	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.1
d. This course was worthwhile.		5.2	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.4
e. It is very clear how this course applies to my future career.		5.0	5.1	5.1	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.2	5.2
f. I would recommend this course to other students.		5.1	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2
g. I learned to value new viewpoints.		5.1	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2
<b>2. The instructor for this course...</b>									
<i>Mean (on a 6 point scale, where 6=strongly agree &amp; 1=strongly disagree)</i>									
a. treated students with respect.		5.7	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7
b. stimulated my interest in the course subject.		5.2	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.6	5.3	5.3
c. used materials which were up-to-date.		5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
d. used materials which were relevant to the course.		5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.6	5.6
e. stimulated student participation in class.		5.4	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.4	5.4
f. was well prepared for class.		5.5	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.6
g. encouraged critical thinking in addition to memorization of material.		5.3	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.4	5.6	5.4	5.4
h. appeared knowledgeable and current in his/her field.		5.6	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.5	5.7	5.7

### Face to Face Instructor/Course Evaluation Summary Report

	Fall 2011 - Spring 2015	FY 2012		FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015	
		Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Fall 2012	Spring 2013	Fall 2013	Spring 2014	Fall 2014	Spring 2015
i. notified students early in the semester how they were doing in class.		4.9	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.0	5.5	5.1	5.1
j. was available to provide adequate extra help when needed.		5.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
k. used technology well as a teaching tool.		5.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
l. answered questions on course material satisfactorily.		5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
m. had high achievement standards in this course.		5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.5
n. clearly communicated expectations for me to succeed in the course.		5.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.4	5.5

### Face to Face Instructor/Course Evaluation Summary Report

	Fall 2011 - Spring 2015	FY 2012		FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015	
		Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Fall 2012	Spring 2013	Fall 2013	Spring 2014	Fall 2014	Spring 2015
<b>3. Regarding the organization and management of this course:</b>									
<i>Mean (on a 6 point scale, where 6=strongly agree &amp; 1=strongly disagree)</i>									
a. The course syllabus was provided in D2L at the beginning of the semester.		5.6	5.6	5.6	5.7	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.7
b. Course objectives as described in the syllabus were covered in the semester.		5.6	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6
c. Grading procedures and criteria were clearly explained.		5.5	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
d. The grading methods were a fair measure of student performance.		5.4	5.4	5.7	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.5
e. Grades at midterm were posted on D2L in a timely manner.		5.1	5.2	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3
f. The textbook(s)/equipment/tools required were appropriate for the class.		5.5	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
g. Projects/assignments/tests were relevant to course instruction.		5.6	5.5	5.8	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6
h. Scheduled time in class was used efficiently.		5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
i. Topics were presented in a logical sequence.		5.5	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
j. Feedback on homework, papers, tests, etc. was provided in a timely manner.		5.2	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
k. Course curriculum incorporated diversity and cultural competency concepts.		5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
<b>Laboratory only:</b>									
l. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course.		5.4	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.4	5.5	5.4
m. Laboratory assignments were interesting and stimulating.		5.3	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3
<b>Hybrid courses only:</b>									
n. Online content/work was a valuable part of the course.		5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.0	5.2
o. The amount of time spent in the classroom was sufficient.		5.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.4
<b>4. Which of the following best describes this instructor?</b> <i>Mean (5=outstanding, 4=Above average, 3=Average, 2=Below average, 1=poor)</i>		4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4